

Arlington, VA 22202

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,320	02/12/2004	Kenneth W. Cowans		5585
7	590 05/10/2005		EXAM	INER
Douglas R. H c/o Jones, Tulla			TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E	
P.O. Box 2266 Fads Station			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/777,320	COWANS, KENNETH W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	William E. Tapolcai	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	7 April 2005.					
/	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under						
ologod in dooolddiloo with the pidense dilat						
isposition of Claims	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-19 is/are withd						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Audionion Danora						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		by the Evenines				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of John P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received.				
200 mg andone asians a series were						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	,	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040614.	3/08) 5) \(\bigcirc \text{Notice of } \) 6) \(\bigcirc \text{Other: } \(\bigcirc \)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/777,320

Art Unit: 3744

- 1. Applicant's remarks regarding Groups I and II are persuasive. Thus, claims 1-15 will be examined together.
- 2. Claims 16-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on April 27, 2005.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong et al. Wong et al is considered to disclose a first refrigeration system or module 12 for delivering a first chilled refrigerant, and a second refrigeration system or module 1 for delivering a second chilled refrigerant to a thermal transfer fluid 8, 9. However, Wong et al does not disclose the recited temperatures or the second refrigerant having a boiling point lower than the first refrigerant. The recited process temperatures are considered to be a matter of obvious choice to one of ordinary skill in the art, as no criticality or unexpected results are seen or have been disclosed for the range of temperatures recited in claim 1. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the refrigeration art would be able to achieve the recited temperature range without undue experimentation. Also, the recitation of the second refrigerant as having a lower boiling point than the first refrigerant is considered to be a matter of obvious choice, as again

Art Unit: 3744

no criticality or unexpected results are seen for that limitation. Again, one of ordinary skill in the refrigeration art, using only the disclosure of Wong et al would be able to use any combination of refrigerants necessary to achieve the desired result.

- 5. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. In claim 6, line 4, the question mark should be deleted.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

William E. Tapolcai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

wet May 5, 2005